

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

SOVERAIN SOFTWARE LLC

§

Plaintiff

§

vs.

§

CASE NO. 6:07 CV 511
PATENT CASE

NEWEGG INC.

§

Defendant

§

§

§

§

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Charge.

INFRINGEMENT

1. Did Soverain prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Newegg directly infringed or induced infringement of the asserted claims of the '314 Patent and the '492 Patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim and each type of infringement below.

Direct Infringement

Inducement

'314 Patent

Claim 35

No

Yes

Claim 51

No

Yes

'492 Patent

Claim 17

No

Yes

Claim 41

No

Yes

Claim 61

No

Yes

2. Did Soverain prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Newegg directly infringed the asserted claims of the '639 Patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim below.

Claim 60

No

Claim 79

No

INVALIDITY

3. Did Newegg prove by clear and convincing evidence that the claims of the '314 Patent, the '492 Patent, and the '639 Patent are invalid?

If you find the claim invalid answer "Yes," otherwise answer "No."

'314 Patent

Claim 35

No

Claim 51

No

'492 Patent

Claim 17

No

Claim 41

No

Claim 61

No

'639 Patent

Claim 60

No

Claim 79

No

DAMAGES

If you have found any claim infringed, answer question 4; otherwise, do not answer question 4.

4. What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Soverain for Newegg's infringement of the following patents?

Answer with the amount in dollars and cents.

'314 Patent and/or '492 Patent: \$ 2,500,000.00

'639 Patent: \$ 0.00

Signed this 30 day of April, 2010


JURY FOREPERSON